Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/759,182	DUFFIE ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	RANODHI N. SERRAO	2141
All Participants:	Status of Application: <u>Allowed</u>	
(1) RANODHI N. SERRAO.	(3)	
(2) <u>Leon R. Turkevich (Reg. No. 34,035)</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 29 February 2008	Time: <u>11:45AM</u>	
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)  Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: None		
Claims discussed: 1, 2, 10, 11, 18, 19, 27, and 28.		
Prior art documents discussed: Maeshima et al. (6,092,113) and MeLampy et al. (2003/0051130)		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: Examiner proposed an Examiner's Amendment with Primary's approval to incorporate the limitations of dependent claims 2, 11, 19, and 28 into independent claims 1, 10, 18, and 27 in order to advance prosecution of the application. The Applicant agreed.		
Part III.		
It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.		
/William C. Vaughn, Jr./ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2144		
(A	pplicant/Applicant's Representat	ive Signature - if appropriate)